# WDFW Steelhead RMP Work Session Questions

- 1) What would an integrated hatchery program look like? Describe these components:
  - Broodstock
  - Rearing program
  - Fish on the spawning grounds
  - Purpose (harvest vs conservaton)
- 2) What would wild fish management zones look like?
- 3) Identify two data needs or gaps that must be addressed in the RMP through research and monitoring.
- 4) List two changes you would like to see occur within WDFW's current management of steelhead.
- 5) List two things you support within WDFW's current management of steelhead.

## Group one

# 1. What would an integrated hatchery program look like? Describe these components:

- Broodstock
- Rearing program
- Fish on the spawning grounds
- Purpose (harvest vs. conservation)

#### Broodstock

- Collected over entire run timing
- 100% NORs' to begin with then PNI to equal or greater than 0.70

## Rearing program

- 1 year smolts with volitional release
- 2 year smolts for fish not smolting in  $1^{st}$  year

# Fish on spawning grounds

- HORs' probably between 0.2 and 0.3

### Purpose

- Conservation

# 2. What would wild fish management zones look like?

# *Ideally:*

- No hatchery fish plants/ try to remove strays
- Must have intact habitat, hydrology and nutrient load
- Manage for gene banking
- 3 possible options for fishing opportunity depending on escapement levels: kill fishery, catch and release fishery, no fishing

# 3. Identify two data needs or gaps that must be addressed in the RMP through research and monitoring.

- How to identify and enumerate hatchery and wild fish on spawning grounds
- Need genetic samples to identify impact of hatchery plants on wild fish

# 4. List two changes you would like to see occur within WDFW's current management of steelhead.

- Wild fish managements in a major watershed
- Push for selective harvest by co-managers

# ${\bf 5. \ List \ two \ things \ you \ support \ within \ WDFW's \ current \ management \ of \ steelhead.}$

- Marking of all hatchery fish
- Segregated populations in some watersheds to allow harvest of hatchery fish while maintaining healthy wild runs

### **Group two**

# 1. What would an integrated hatchery program look like? Describe these components:

- Broodstock
- Rearing program
- Fish on the spawning grounds
- Purpose (harvest vs. conservation)

#### Broodstock

- Local genes, over spawn time

#### Rearing program

- Survival (goal)/rearing in local watershed

## Fish on the Spawning grounds

- pHOS, less than 50% or more + 50% pNOB >100% 1:1 ratio

# Purpose (harvest vs. conservation)

- Both, harvest potentially replace non-local
- Conservation: 100% ESA objectives

#### 2. What would wild fish management zones look like?

- *Legacy population/ segregation of wild and hatchery*
- Free of hatchery fish

# 3. Identify two data needs or gaps that must be addressed in the RMP through research and monitoring.

- spawning survey, composition of wild and hatchery
- Smolt mon/prod
- Genetic

# 4. List two changes you would like to see occur within WDFW's current management of steelhead.

- Co-manager resource balance
- Increase opportunity for wild fish

# ${\bf 5.\ List\ two\ things\ you\ support\ within\ WDFW's\ current\ management\ of\ steelhead.}$

- Progress after threat of ESA Hatchery production for steelhead harvest

# **Group three**

- 1. What would an integrated hatchery program look like? Describe these components:
  - Broodstock
  - Rearing program
  - Fish on the spawning grounds
  - Purpose (harvest vs. conservation)

#### **Broodstock**

- Derived from local Population
- *Incorporated at x % annually*

## Rearing program

- Rearing to minimize domest.
- Various juvenile release phases (1 or 2)
- *<pNOB*
- Either-or
- 2. What would wild fish management zones look like?
  - no segregated programs
  - Possible Int/Rec
  - *Selective fisheries (Wild retention determined by abundance)*
- 3. Identify two data needs or gaps that must be addressed in the RMP through research and monitoring.
  - pHOS
  - Spatial and temporal overlap
  - *Natural juvenile productivity*
- 4. List two changes you would like to see occur within WDFW's current management of steelhead.
  - Re-think haul and dump programs
  - All selective fisheries
  - Establish more constrained brood-takes

# ${\bf 5.\ List\ two\ things\ you\ support\ within\ WDFW's\ current\ management\ of\ steelhead.}$

- Development of segregated stocks (when used properly)Development of spawner escapement levels

## **Group four**

# 1. What would an integrated hatchery program look like? Describe these components:

- Broodstock
- Rearing program
- Fish on the spawning grounds
- Purpose (harvest vs. conservation)

#### Broodstock

- Aim for PNI > .70
- Collection representing timing and geographical distribution, size and age of natural population
- Largest effective population possible

### **Spawning**

- Single family parenting
- No purposeful selection of traits (timing, size, age)

### Rearing

- Should mimic wild fish (majority two year smolts) optimally
- Growth modulation (fast in spring etc) preferred
- Volitional release (marking 100%)

### Fish on spawning grounds

- PNI > .70

# 2. What would wild fish management zones look like?

- No segregated hatchery program
- Allow integrated hatchery recovery program
- Wild fish management zones with no hatchery programs do not allow harvest (hooking mortality or netting)

# 3. Identify two data needs or gaps that must be addressed in the RMP through research and monitoring.

- Smolt count monitoring (total smolt abundance and mortality in near shore marine environment)
- Spawning ground composition HOR vs. NOR

# 4. List two changes you would like to see occur within WDFW's current management of steelhead.

- More effective hatchery practices to obtain results achieved in the 70's and 80's consistent with AHA
- Move away from single stock management approach

# 5. List two things you support within WDFW's current management of steelhead.

- Recognize the need for change and steelhead management plan in work.